


**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 03**

Name of the State..ODISHA District.BALASORE. Election. GENERAL,2019

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E RULES, 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & Address of the candidate	PRATAP KUMAR SETHI, AT/PO-GUDUDA, REMUNA, BALASORE
2	Political Party affiliation , if any	INC
3	No. And Name of Assembly / Parilimentary Constituency	39-REMUNA (SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	SUDHANSU SEKHAR PARIDA
5	Date of declaration of result	24.05.2019
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	19.06.2019
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes / No
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) Yes / No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes / No
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes / No
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	20.06.2019
	(i) original account	
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes / No
12.A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, f any, given by the candidate	NA
14.A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidates	NA
15	Grand total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the abstract satement	Rs. 20,20,000/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes / No
17	If No, then please mention the following defects wth details	NA
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes / No
	ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes / No
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes / No
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes / No
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes / No

18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following				Yes / No	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
I						NA
II						NA
III						NA
TOTAL						NA
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO/ Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes / No	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No 19 referred to above, give the following details.*				NA	
	i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period orduring the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is In regional language) and menton the date of notice				NA	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)				YES / NO	
	DATE :- 22.6.19				 <b>District Election Officer</b> <b>Collector, Palakkad</b>	
23	Comments, if any , by the Expenditure Observer:-					
	Date 22.06.19	Signature of the Expenditure Observer				

\*If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.