Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO...05...

Name of the State..ODISHA District.BALASORE. Election. GENERAL,2019

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELEECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF

DEO'S SO	CRUTINY REPORT ON ELEECTION EXPENSES OF THE C. I.	To be filled up by the DEO	
E RUL	ES, 1961	To be filled up by the	
Sl. No.	Description	PRAKASH LENKA	
1	Name & Address of the candidate	UTKAL SAMAJ	
		- BALASORE -	
2	Political Party affilation, if any No. And Name of Assembly / Pariliamentary Constituency	MADAN MOHAN DUTTA	
3	No. And Name of Assembly 12 to Name of the elected candidate	24.05.2019	
4	Date of declaration of result	19.06.2019	
5	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	(i)Yes/No	
6	is 1 to or his 30till lind out		
7	(i) Whether the candidate of his agent date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(ii) Yes / No	
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account One of the control of the candidate after Account (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not	Yes / No	
	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate		
	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after resolution Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (19)	23.06.2019	
	It a maganetied be silowi in Con	Yes / No	
	assembled for lodging Account	Yes / Tee	
9	Whether the candidate has lodged the account Whether the candidate has lodged the account date of lodging of account by		
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by	ORIGINAL	
	If the candidate has louged and		
	the candidate:		
11	(i) original account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes / No	
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting		
12	Whether account lodged in time	NA	
	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA	
12.A	i ladwood in titlica who are		
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in thick, explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof	NA	
		NA	
14	Explanation, f any, given by the candidate Explanation, f any, given by the candidates Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidate in Part-	Rs.43,170/-	
14.A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any of the explanation is any of the explanation if any of the explanation is any of the explanation in the explanation is any of the explanation		
11	Grand total of all election expenses reported		
15	II of the abstract satement	Yes / No	
	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of the R. P.	res/ No	
	Last andidate has ucun 1005		
		NA	
	the following delects will details	•	
17		Yes / No	
	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register Computer Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract	100 / 5 /	
	Account Register, Cash Register,		
	Statement has been lodged	Yes / No	
	Statement has been lodged ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by		
	candidate to respect of items of election	Yes / No	
	candidate (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election		
	expenditure submitted (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes / No	
l e			

	9.			Mary photos and		
17	(v) Whether all	evnendin	(except petty expenditure) routed		A show in the second	
	through bank acco	unt				
18	whether the D	Whether the DFO had in the			Yes / No	
	rectifying the defect (ii) Whether of			Yes / No		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect			Yes / No NA		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					
19						
	1 11141 [Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation			N. AN.	
	Register and Folder	er of Evidence.	Yes / No			
	Items of Date		Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as per	Amount understated	
	expenditur	of Shadow	Observation Register/folder of	the account	by the candidate	
	e	Observati	evidence	submitted by		
		on Register		the candidate		
1						
II						
III TOTA	1					
1017		ite produce l	lis Register of Accounting			
20	Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO/ Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period			Yes / No		
i) Were the defects		,				
	candidate during c		Yes / No			
21	Reconciliation Me					
	ii) If yes, then and discrepancies with I		NĄ.			
	language) and men					
	(iii) Did the candida	te give any rep	Yes / No NA			
	(iv) If yes, plea	se Annex co				
	received, (with Eng			AN		
	language) and menti					
	(v) DEO's comment			/		
	Whether the DEO a by the candidate (Sh	_	Ţ	YES / NO		
	Report of DEO)	oute of Silliai		/W\ M		
			District	A Thurst Louis		
	DATE :- 22.6.19		Car	TOTAL MUNICIPALITY		
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer:-			Em Co		
	Date 22d-19	T	Signature of the Expenditure Observer			
	ZAW 11	Signature of the Expenditure Observer				

^{*}If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.