rial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO... 11.....

Name of the State..ODISHA District.BALASORE. Election. GENERAL, 2019

C.E. RULES, 1961

C.E. RULES, 1961

| Sl. No. | Description  | To be Clied on Lade DEA                     |  |  |
|---------|--|---|--|--|
| 1       | Name & Address of the candidate  | To be filled up by the DEO MANAS RANJAN DAS |  |  |
|         |  | PATTANAYAK                                  |  |  |
|         | Political Party affilation, if any   | I.N.C                                       |  |  |
| 4       | No. And Name of Assembly / Pariliamentary Constituency  Name of the elected candidate  | 38- BALASORE                                |  |  |
| 5       | Date of declaration of result  | MADAN MOHAN DUTTA                           |  |  |
| 6       | Date of Account P  | 24.06.2019                                  |  |  |
|         | Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting   | 19.06.2019                                  |  |  |
| 7       | (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing   | (i)Yes / No                                 |  |  |
|         | (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting  | (ii) Yes / No                               |  |  |
| 8       | Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19) | Yes / No                                    |  |  |
| 9       | Last date prescribed for lodging Account   | 23,06,2019                                  |  |  |
| 10      | Whether the candidate has lodged the account   | Yes / No                                    |  |  |
|         | If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  |   |  |  |
| 11      | (i) original account   | ORIGINAL                                    |  |  |
|         | (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting  |   |  |  |
| 12      | Whether account lodged in time   | Yes / No                                    |  |  |
| 12.A    | If not lodged in time, period of delay   | NA<br>NA<br>NA                              |  |  |
| 13      | If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof   |   |  |  |
| 14      | Explanation, f any, given by the candidate   |   |  |  |
| 14.A    | Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidates  | NA  |  |  |
| 15 1    | Grand total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-<br>II of the abstract satement   | Rs.12,74,602/-                              |  |  |
| 16      | Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961             | Yes / No                                    |  |  |
| I       | f No, then please mention the following defects wth details  | NA  |  |  |
| A       | Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract statement has been lodged                              | Yes / No                                    |  |  |
| ca      | ) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by andidate   | Yes / No                                    |  |  |
|         | ii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election spenditure submitted  | Yes / No                                    |  |  |
| (iv     | v) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election   | Yes / No                                    |  |  |

|   | 17    | (v) Wheth through ba                   | ner all e  | xpenditure                                 | (except petty expenditure) routed                                  | 1   |                                     |  |  |
|---|-------|--|--|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1   | -     | i) Whether                             | the DEO  | had issued                                 | Yes / No   |   |                                     |  |  |
|   | 18    |  |  |  | Yes / No   |   |                                     |  |  |
|   |       | (iii) Comp                             | ante candi   | date rectifie                              | Yes / No   |   |                                     |  |  |
|   |       | was rectifie                           | (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.  |  |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|   | 19    | Register an                            | MILL MIC 6   | election exp<br>xpenses sho<br>f Evidence. | Yes / No   |   |                                     |  |  |
|   |       |  | Date   | Page No.                                   | Mention amount as per the Shadow<br>Observation Register/folder of | Amount as per<br>the account<br>submitted by<br>the candidate | Amount understated by the candidate |  |  |
|   | I     |  |  |  |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|   | II    |  |  |  |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|   | III   |  |  |  |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|   | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|   | 20    | Did the C<br>Election Ex<br>Authorised | ependiture f   | produce his or inspection mes during       | Yes / No   |   |                                     |  |  |
|   |       | If DEO does<br>19 referred             | If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No 19 referred to above, give the following details.  i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the |  |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|   |       | candidate du<br>Reconciliation         | candidate during campaign period orduring the Account Reconciliation Meeting   |  |  |   | Yes / No                            |  |  |
|   | 21    | discrepancies                          | ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is 1n regional anguage) and menton the date of notice          |  |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|   |       | (iii) Did the c                        | andidate gi  | Yes / No                                   |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|   |       | (iv) If yes,                           | please .   | Annex cop                                  | 1657 140   |   |                                     |  |  |
|   |       | received, (with                        | received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional nguage) and mention date of reply  |  |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|   |       |  | DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation   |  |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|   |       |  | Thether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported  |  |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|   | 1     | by the candidat                        | the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary  |  |  |   | S/NO                                |  |  |
|   | 22    | Report of DEO)                         |  |  |  |   |                                     |  |  |
|   |       | DATE :- 22-                            |  |  |  | District B  | BPONGER &                           |  |  |
|   | 23    | Comments, if a                         | w Comer  | er, Balasove                               |  |   |                                     |  |  |
| Date 22.06.19 Signature of the Expenditure Observer                 |       |  |  |  |  |   |                                     |  |  |
| f the Expenditure Observer has a second of the Expenditure Observer |       |  |  |  |  |   |                                     |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup>If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.