KULI	ES, 1961	L DEO	
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO	
l	Name & Address of the candidate	JIBAN PRADIP DASH	
2	Political Party affilation, if any	BJD	
3	No. And Name of Assembly / Pariliamentary Constituency	38- BALASORE	
4	Name of the elected candidate	MADAN MOHAN DUTTA	
5	Date of declaration of result	23.05.2019	
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	20.06.2019	
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i)Yes / No	
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) Yes / No	
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes / No	
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019	
	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes / No	
10	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	,	
	(i) original account		
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting		
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes / No	
12.A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA	
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof	Yes	
14	Explanation, f any, given by the candidate	NA	
14.A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidates	NA	
15	Grand total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the abstract satement	Rs.14,71,682/-	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, I961	Yes / No	
	If No, then please mention the following defects wth details	NA	
17	i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes / No	
	ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes / No	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes / No	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes / No	

1	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed				
1-	through bank account	Yes / No			
18	i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for	<u> </u>	Yes / No		
	rectifying the defect				
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the derect	Yes / No			
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following	Yes / No			
	Items of expenditur e Page No. of Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence on Register	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate		
I					
II					
III					
TOTA	Did the condidate produce his Register of Accounting				
20	Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO/ Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period	Υ	Yes / No		
	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No 19 referred to above, give the following details.•				
	candidate during campaign period orduring the Account	Yes / No			
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is 1n regional language) and menton the date of notice				
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	Yes / No			
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply		,		
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	'			
	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported	YI	ES/NO		
",2 :	by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary	/VN	M		
22	Report of DEO)	District &	ection Officer&		
	DATE :- 22.6.19	Collec	HALL BOOK		
22	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer:-				
	Date 22.06.19 Signature of the	Signature of the Expenditure Observer			
21 22 23	expenditur e of Shadow Observation Observation Register/folder of evidence Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO/ Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No 19 referred to above, give the following details. i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period orduring the Account Reconciliation Meeting ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is In regional language) and menton the date of notice (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice (iii) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) DATE: 22.6.75 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer:	District Salled	es / No es / No es / No es / No		

^{*}If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.