



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO.....

Name of the State..ODISHA District.BALASORE. Election. GENERAL,2019

| DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E RULES, 1961 | | |
|--|--|---|
| Sl. No. | Description | To be filled up by the DEO |
| 1 | Name & Address of the candidate | GIRISH CHANDRA PARIDA, AT/PO-ARUHABRUTI, PS-KAMARDA, BALASORE |
| 2 | Political Party affiliation , if any | PJP |
| 3 | No. And Name of Assembly / Parilimentary Constituency | 36-BHOGRAI |
| 4 | Name of the elected candidate | ANANTA DAS, BJD |
| 5 | Date of declaration of result | 24.05.2019 |
| 6 | Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting | 20.06.2019 |
| 7 | (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing | (i) Yes / No |
| | (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting | (ii) Yes / No |
| 8 | Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19) | Yes / No |
| 9 | Last date prescribed for lodging Account | 21.06.2019 |
| 10 | Whether the candidate has lodged the account | Yes / No |
| 11 | If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: | 20.06.2019 |
| | (i) original account | |
| | (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting | |
| 12 | Whether account lodged in time | Yes / No |
| 12.A | If not lodged in time, period of delay | NA |
| 13 | If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof | NA |
| 14 | Explanation, f any, given by the candidate | NA |
| 14.A | Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidates | NA |
| 15 | Grand total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the abstract statement | Rs. 54,216/- |
| 16 | Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 | Yes / No |
| 17 | If No, then please mention the following defects with details | NA |
| | i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged | Yes / No |
| | ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate | Yes / No |
| | (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted | Yes / No |
| | (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election | Yes / No |
| | (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account | Yes / No |

| | | | | | | |
|-------|--|------|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| 18 | i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect | | | | Yes / No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| | (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect | | | | Yes / No | |
| | (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not. | | | | Yes / No | |
| 19 | Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following | | | | Yes / No | |
| | Items of expenditure | Date | Page No. of Shadow Observation Register | Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence | Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate | Amount understated by the candidate |
| I | | | | | | NA |
| II | | | | | | NA |
| III | | | | | | NA |
| TOTAL | | | | | | NA |
| 20 | Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO/ Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period | | | | Yes / No | |
| 21 | If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No 19 referred to above, give the following details. • | | | | NA | |
| | i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period orduring the Account Reconciliation Meeting | | | | Yes / No | |
| | ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and menton the date of notice | | | | NA | |
| | (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice | | | | Yes / No | |
| | (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply | | | | NA | |
| | (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation | | | | NA | |
| 22 | Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) | | | | YES / NO | |
| | DATE :- 22.6.19 | | | | District Election Officer & Nodal Officer, Bangalore  | |
| 23 | Comments, if any , by the Expenditure Observer:- | | | |  23/06/2019 Signature of the Expenditure Observer | |
| | Date 23.06.19 | | | | | |

*If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.