DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E RULES, 1961 St. No. To be filled up by the DEO Description HAZI SK ABDUL ISTAR 1 Name & Address of the candidate A.I.T.M.C Political Party affilation, if any 6- BALASORE PC 3 No. And Name of Assembly / Pariliamentary Constituency SRI PRATAP CH. SARANGI 4 Name of the elected candidate 24.05.2019 5 Date of declaration of result 19.06.2019 Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting (i)Yes / No (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing 7 (ii) Yes / No (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Yes / No Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not 8 be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19) 23.06.2019 Last date prescribed for lodging Account Yes / No Whether the candidate has lodged the account 10 If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: ORIGINAL 11 (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting Yes / No Whether account lodged in time 12 NA If not lodged in time, period of delay 12.A If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for NA 13 explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof NA Explanation, f any, given by the candidate 14 NA Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidates 14.A Grand total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-Rs.90,500/-15 II of the abstract satement Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses Yes / No of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. 16 Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 If No, then please mention the following defects wth details NA i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Yes / No Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by Yes / No 17 candidate Yes / No (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted Yes / No (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed Yes / No through bank account

L							S
	18 (Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Yes / No	
		(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
		(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect					
		was rectified or not					
	19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following				Yes / No	
	A 1000	Items of	Date		Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as per	Amount understated
		expenditur			Observation Register/folder of	the account	by the candidate
		C		Obscrvati	evidence	submitted by the candidate	
1				on		the candidate	
				Register			
-	ī						NA
+	II I		-				NA
+	111	<u> </u>					NA
ŀ	TOTAL						NA
ŀ	20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting				Yes / No	
١		Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer / RO/					
		Authorised persons 3 times during campaign period					
	21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No 19 referred to above, give the following details.•				NA	
		i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period orduring the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
		ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is 1n regional language) and menton the date of notice				NA	
		(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice				NA	
		(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				NA	
		(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation				NA	
		Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported YES / NO					
	22	by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary					
		Report of DEO) District Effection Office 2					
		DATE :- 22.6.19					
	23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer:-					
			122/06/204				
		Date 22.	Date 22 · d · 17 Signature of the Expenditure Observer				

^{*}If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.