Statement has been lodged

expenditure submitted

through bank account

candidate

17

erial Num	mber of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO		
tame of the	he State ODISHA District RALASORE Flection, GENERAL, 20	019	
EO'S SC	CRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CAN	NDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF	
E RULE	ES, 1961		
Sl. No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO	
	Name & Address of the candidate	SRI SUDARSAN DAS	
2	Political Party affilation, if any	INC 25 TALESWAP	
3	No. And Name of Assembly / Pariliamentary Constituency	35- JALESWAR	
	Name of the elected candidate	SRI ASWINI KUMAR PATRA 24.05.2019	
_	Date of declaration of result	19.06.2019	
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting		
	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing		
,	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) Yes / No	
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes / No	
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	23.06.2019	
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes / No	
10	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	ORIGINAL	
11	(i) original account		
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting		
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes / No	
12.A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA	
13.A	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof	or NA	
14	Explanation, f any, given by the candidate	NA	
14.A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any of the candidates	NA	
14.A 15	Grand total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part- II of the abstract satement	t- Rs.21,31,785/-	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes / No	

) Whether	the DEO.					
18	Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Yes / No		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Yes / No		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect					03 / 110	
	was rectified or not.						
19	Whether the correspond Register and	with the exp	penses show	Yes / No			
	Items of expenditur e	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observati on Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
I							
II							
TOTAL						NA	
20	Election E	Expenditure	produce I for inspection times during	Yes / No			
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No 19 referred to above, give the following details.•						
	i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period orduring the Account Reconciliation Meeting				Yes / No		
	ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is 1n regional language) and menton the date of notice						
		(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice				Yes / No	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply						
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation						
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					YES/NO	
	DATE :- 22.6./7				District El	ection Officer &	
	Comments	s, if any, by	y the Expen)		
23					122/06/2019		
	Date 22.06.17 Signature of the Expenditure Observer						

to the extension of

ar la: ur

nd

f tl eth

ıe

, 1 No

hou er W

dic V

en W

ouį

^{*}If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.