

| Sl No | Name of the Bidder | Technical Assessment of Bids Received for selection of Outsourcing Agency to Provide Technomanpower Personnel.2021-22 | Remarks |
|-------|--|--|--|
| 1 | Janakalyan Parishad | Format T-1 & T-5 contradicts with each other.As per the Format T- 5 ,the Power Of Attorney is in the name of Mr Ranjan Kumar Bindhani for signing of the proposal however,Sumati Bindhani has signed the proposal in all places instead of the authorised signatory .Further the Format T-5 is not as per the requirement where in it was asked for supply of Techno Manpower not for the "Setting up of Help Desk". | Not eligible in the technical assessment |
| 2 | Allin Security & Intelligence Service | The MSME Certificate shows the Bidder does not have permission for Techno Managerial Service. It is only shows office administrative service activities. Hence, Rs20,000/- towards EMD exemption should not be claimed by the Bidder under this clause. T1 has only the name of authorised signatory. However no initial is available. Whereas in all pages the initial is available. The Formats T-2 ,T-6 & T-7 have no Organisation seal and initials.Further the Format T-5 & T-7 is not as per the requirement where in it is asked for supply of Techno manpower not for the "Operation of Help Desk".No ECR of EPF for the month of Feb & Mar 2022 is available in the Bid. Similarly no Challan of ESI for the month of Feb & Mar 2022 is available in the Bid. | Not eligible in the technical assessment |
| 3 | Matrix Resources | Format T-5:- Koushik Bose is authorised to sign the proposal as per the POA. However the signature of the Proprietor, Mr Bibekananda Rout is available in every page.T6 submitted by the bidder is not as per our given format.Further the Format T-3 has not been submitted in the letter head of the CA as asked for. | Not eligible in the technical assessment |
| 4 | Refeiro Security & Placement Agency Pvt Ltd. | As per Format T-1 ,Mr Colin Kumar Jena is authorised to sign the entire bid document. However Signatories signature is not available in any pages. It is mentioned that Padmalochana Jena is the Director and Colin Ku Jena is the Managing Director. Whereas the Seal and Signature in all pages shows that the Managing Director is Padmalochan Jena, which contradicts the purpose of the Document submitted.(Format T-5).Format T-6 submitted by bidder is not as per format, Photocopy of the said format is available instead of original one that to in the stamp paper of Rs.10/- instead of Rs.20/-,it is also submitted without Seal & Sign of authorized signatory .Format T-7 has no authorised seal & Signatory. | Not eligible in the technical assessment |
| 5 | Herald Manpower | As per Format T-5 the power of Attorney has given in favour of Sri Pradipta Ku Rath.But there is no signature of the Authorised Signatory in the format.No seal of the Organisation.Format F-6 has no seal of the Organisation. | Not eligible in the technical assessment |
| 6 | Kalinga Warrior Security Services | The MSME Certificate shows the Bidder does not have permission for Techno Managerial Service. Permission is there only for Data Entry Operator along with all Non Techno Managerial positions. | Not eligible in the technical assessment |
| 7 | Famous Security Services | Format T-3 has been submitted in the letter head of the organisation instead of the letter head of the Chartered Accountant as asked for.That too not as per the format given in the Tender document.Format T-5 authorises Sri Gyanendra Bal for signing the Proposal,however Sri Sarat Kumar Bhuyan has put his signature in all pages.That too no seal of the organisation is found in the said format.Format T-6 is not available in the Bid. | Not eligible in the technical assessment |
| 8 | M/s Care Security & Allied Services | The said organisation is blacklisted by the H & FW Department (CDM&PHO,Kandhamal) during the year Feb-2021 for three years.Which is in force as on date.However the Format T-6 submitted by the organisation which contradicts the government notification. | Not eligible in the technical assessment |
| 9 | Multisoft Communications Pvt Ltd. | The Bid Document Cost of Rs.1500/- has not been submitted by the Bidder. | Not eligible in the technical assessment |
| 10 | Biraja Security Services | After due technical assessment of the Bid it is found that the score awarded is 36 which is below the Bechmark that is 40.Hence not considered for Financial evaluation. | Not eligible for Financial Assessment. |
| 11 | Bharat Security Service | Considered for Financial Evaluation | Eligible in the Technical Evaluation |
| 12 | L & K Services | Considered for Financial Evaluation | Eligible in the Technical Evaluation |

Technical Assessment Score Sheet of the Bidders applied for Outsourcing of service provider to provide Techno Managerial Personnel-Balalore

| SI No | Evaluation of Parameters | Total Marks | Criteria for award of Mark | Famous Security Service | Kalinga Warriors Security Service | M/s Matrix Resources | M/s Bharat Security Service | M/s Biraja Security Service | Rafelero Security & Placement Agency Pvt. Ltd | Allin Security and Intelligence Service | Jana Kalyan Parisd | Herald Manpower and Placement Services | L&K Services | M/s Care Security Allied Services | Multisoft Communications Private Limited | Sumeet Security Service |
|-------|--|--------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|--------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 1 | Experience: No. of years of Experience in providing any type of Man power services-Details to be furnished Form T4A Experience : No. of Projects Executed execution of projects related to provisioning of * techno managerial man power (other than DEO/Plumber/Electrician) to State Govt./ Central Govt./ Semi Govt./Govt. owned Societies/Corporation in last three financial years (to be determined from the work order/contract copies)- Details to be furnished Form T4B (Renewal of a Contract is to be treated as one project) | 10 20 | * >3 year ≤ 5 Years : 5 marks > 5 Years : 10 marks * 1 no ≤ 4 nos : 7 marks > 4 nos ≤ 7 nos : 10 marks > 7nos ≤ 10 nos : 15 marks > 10 nos : 20 marks | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 2 | Experience : No. of Projects Executed Experience in execution of projects related to provisioning of * techno managerial man power (DEO/Plumber/Electrician) to State Govt./ Central Govt./ Semi Govt./Govt. owned Societies/Corporation in last three financial years (to be determined from the work order/contract copies)- Details to be furnished Form T4C (Renewal of a Contract is to be treated as one project) | 15 | * 1 no ≤ 4 nos : 5 marks >4 nos ≤ 7 nos : 7 marks >7nos ≤ 10 nos : 10 marks > 10 nos : 15 marks | 5 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 20 | | | |
| 3 | Experience: No of * Techno-Managerial Manpower deployed Average no of * techno managerial man power (other than DEO/Plumber/Electrician) engaged in last 3 financial years : 2018-19,2019-20,2020-21 (to be determined from the work order / contract copies) - Details to be furnished Form T4B Experience: No of *Techno-Managerial Manpower deployed Average no of * techno managerial man power (DEO/Plumber/Electrician) engaged in last 3 financial years : 2018-19,2019-20,2020-21 (to be determined from the work order / contract copies) - Details to be furnished Form T4C | 20 15 | * 20-30 persons : 7 marks 31-40 persons : 10 marks *41-50 persons : 15 marks * >50 persons : 20 marks * 20-30 persons : 5 marks 31-40 persons : 7 marks *41-50 persons : 10 marks * >50 persons : 15 marks | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | | | |
| 4 | Total Average Annual turnover (Audited) (Average Annual Turnover of financial years 2018-19, 2019-20, 2020-21) | 20 | * > 1 ≤ 3 crores : 7 marks * > 3 ≤ 5 crores : 10 marks * > 5 ≤ 7 crores : 15 marks * > 7 crores : 20 marks | 42 | 95 | 20 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 30 | 44 | 20 | | | |
| | Total Marks | 100 | | | | 20 | 47 | 36 | 12 | 30 | 30 | 44 | 100 | | | |

NOTE: The bidders mentioned in SI no 11,12 & 13 are not eligible in the technical bid assessment due to the reasons as mentioned.Hence no marks has been allocated.

(Handwritten signatures and marks)